

The Lift as Curator: A Serendipitous Design Process

Laura Minchella, Mark C. Mitchell, Garry Taulu, Hanley Weng, Danielle Yu

Faculty of Architecture, Design and Planning

The University of Sydney, Sydney NSW 2006

lmin3126@uni.sydney.edu.au, mmit9210@uni.sydney.edu.au, gtau4592@uni.sydney.edu.au,

hwten6932@uni.sydney.edu.au, dayu2993@uni.sydney.edu.au

ABSTRACT

The OzCHI24 student design challenge defined isolated experiences as a problem space that can offer potential for serendipitous encounters by redesigning, rethinking or augmenting the experience. To address this challenge we investigated how to successfully design for serendipity and arrived at a crucial equation required for all serendipitous encounters: curiosity + sagacity = serendipity. Gathered research from our own serendipitous journey combined with user research culminated into our concept: a lift that offers an opportunistic space which promotes serendipitous experiences on a case by case basis.

Author Keywords

Serendipity, sagacity, OzCHI24, *dérive*, dead zones, ambient displays, social interaction

ACM Classification Keywords

H5.m. Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI): Multimedia Information Systems—artificial, augmented, and virtual realities.

INTRODUCTION

The Lift as Curator is a concept derived from a serendipitous design process undertaken during the OzCHI24 student design challenge, which asked for the exploration of the serendipitous as a theme both in terms of design and design forms. By referencing serendipity not just for our goal but utilising it as our process, a deeper understanding of how to design for serendipitous encounters was achieved.

BACKGROUND

Mark Weiser's visionary article (Weiser, 1991) proposes that computing will inevitably become an "integral, invisible part of the way people live their lives", aided by ubiquitous computing. In recent years, our society's move towards ubiquitous computing can be found in the growing number of commercially visible Natural User Interfaces (NUIs). However, with the introduction of technology that holds so much potential, we must also ground ourselves to our human values in its use (Harper et al., 2008). The continued increase in availability holds much potential in the display of ambient information (Brave et al., 1998).

Many online content providers, such as advertisers, are constantly researching better methods to compile data from a range of sites (Bilchev et al., 2003) to effectively present the most personally relevant information to users

based on their data, their friends, and others like them (Kobsa et al., 2001). This computational ability to infer personalities and interests will only become more accurate and detailed with the rise of linked data (Bizer et al., 2009). Beyond advertisements, these inferences can greatly benefit users in discovering new and personally engaging artifacts.

DESIGN PROCESS

Throughout much of our earlier process, we researched and generated ideas. Idea generation consisted of brainstorming, quantitative then qualitative methods, across increasingly narrower categories. The efficient division of research areas across different members allowed for the concise presentation of information spanning broad fields. We were adamant on defining our terminology: the non-literal definition of an isolated experience, and a search for the definition and implications of serendipity — a process that significantly directed our concept.

The *dérive*, an unplanned tour through an urban landscape directed entirely by the feelings evoked in the individual by their surroundings is a notion closely tied to serendipity. The word serendipity usually suggests "a happy accident" (Zuckerman, 2011), yet a *dérive* is influenced not by chance but rather by the urban landscape's psychogeography, and this is crucial to the experience (Debord, 1956). As part of our design process we embarked on our own *dérive*, which offered more than inspiration — like the *dérive*, a serendipitous encounter requires more design input than just chance. Our *dérive* around Sydney was a serendipitous journey and our sagacity combined with curiosity as designers led us to numerous potential problem spaces. We learnt that a successful serendipitous experience requires sagacity — chance plays a minor role. Without acuteness, there is no serendipity. From this insight a rule was formulated: curiosity + sagacity = serendipity. We believe this formula is crucial to all systems designed for serendipity.

The user research and concept testing process allowed for the discovery of new features and problems and the refinement of processes. Throughout the design and refinement of our project, there were three user-feedback loops in our iterative methodology: participatory design research, Concept Testing and Concept Evaluation.

Discussions of serendipitous experiences between three students began our design research, and ideas represented through the creation of self-immersion collages, induced focus group discussion, and idea drawing for future concepts. This led to the idea of "spaces within spaces" or "dead zones", areas which are inhibited for the sole purpose of another, including train platforms, and the awkwardness of a lift ride which became our problem space. Concept testing interview

OZCHI 2011 Student Challenge Programme, Nov 28 & 29, Canberra, Australia.

Copyright in material reproduced here remains with the author(s), who have granted CHISIG a licence to distribute the material as part of the OzCHI 2011 electronic proceedings. For any further use please contact the author(s).

sessions, consisting of five participants aged between 18-23, queried the participants' current behaviours in the problem space and reactions to the concept. At completion, we evaluated this session by filtering ideas, new directions and potential problems through mind maps, discussions and chalk drawings, allowing us to rethink features and focus our idea around information visualisation and social interaction through icons and music. To test our new ideas and defined concept, we performed a concept evaluation via Skype, interviewing three students of different age groups whom were walked through user scenarios aided with sketches.

Overall, participants were willing to interact with other lift passengers based on mutual interests. Participants who stated they were reluctant to interact also will be taken into consideration in our design, adapting the experience in the lift to act as an artist piece, allowing for personal observation and enjoyment, as well as serendipitous experiences.

Detailing our concept was achieved through user profiles and role play of user scenarios. Experiencing our faculty lift through method acting allowed the contextualisation of ideas and appropriate interactions.




Figure 1. Mock-up of serendipity instigators.

CONCEPT

Our concept redefines the mundane lift experience as an experimental space that promotes a potential serendipitous encounter by unveiling common interests or 'experts' of a domain as curated by the Lift itself.

The Lift as Curator combines both curiosity and sagacity for a successful serendipitous experience. Curiosity is left up to the user; sagacity is a shared responsibility with the curator and users. The Lift, through building up a 'virtual rapport' with frequent users can determine who, when, where, and how is an opportunistic time for a potential serendipitous experience. Through 'serendipity instigators' such as iconography or audio cues, an interaction potential between users is presented, but not forced — in this way, our concept takes care to expand the users' encounter with the world, rather than unintentionally limiting it. The intelligence of the curator deliberately constrains and actively selects the appropriate instigators so as to not burden or dissolve the potential experience. The ambient serendipity instigators are contextual, recognisable, and intuitive, but require no direct interaction from the user — the interactions the lift encourages are between the users, not the lift itself.

The implementation of this concept relies on the use of ambient displays on the surfaces of the lift. The personal identification of individuals would also be required (via mobile indicators, e.g. NFC, or lift-centred computer

vision). The logical inference of similar individual interests also requires the aggregation and analysis of publicly personal linked data.

DISCUSSION

User interviews revealed that some people may not be open to the concept of social interaction within a lift. This suggests an examination of user testing to understand how to accommodate for different personality types. Further evaluation could be conducted with 'technology probes' (Hutchinson et. al, 2003), where the effects of serendipity flags and features can be properly evaluated across different users and scenarios over time. The results from these studies and discussions can also trigger changes in the design.

Further discussion is also warranted for an extension of scope beyond the lift into a lobby, or the waiting time before said lift is available. Privacy concerns are another consideration that requires further research and careful analysis.

We believe that curiosity + sagacity = serendipity is a crucial formula necessary for the successful design and implementation of systems building for serendipitous experiences. The necessity of curiosity along with sagacity factors was validated by the very design process in which this formula was derived.

REFERENCES

- Bilchev, G., and Marston, D. "Personalised Advertising — Exploiting the Distributed User Profile." *BT Technology Journal* 21 1 (2003): 84-90.
- Bizer, C., Heath, T., and Berners-Lee, T. "Linked Data - The Story So Far." *International Journal on Semantic Web and Information Systems* 5 3 (2009): 1-22.
- Brave, S., et al. "Ambient Displays: Turning Architectural Space into an Interface between People and Digital Information." *Proceedings of CoBuild* (1998).
- Debord, G. "Theory of the Dérive." *Les Lèvres Nues* #9, 1956. Retrieved October 28, 2011 from <http://www.cddc.vt.edu/sionline/si/theory.html>
- Harper, R., et al. *Being Human. Human-Computer Interaction in the Year 2020*. USA: Microsoft Corporation, 2008.
- Hutchinson, H., et al. "Technology probes: inspiring design for and with families." *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*, 2003.
- Kobsa, A., Koenemann, J., and Pohl, W. "Personalised hypermedia presentation techniques for improving online customer relationships." *Knowl. Eng. Rev.* 16 2 (March 2001): 111-155.
- Weiser, M. "The Computer for the 21st Century." *Scientific American* (1991).
- Zuckerman, E. CHI Keynote: Desperately Seeking Serendipity. Retrieved 20 October, 2011, from <http://www.ethanzuckerman.com/blog/2011/05/12/chi-keynote-desperately-seeking-serendipity/>